

Application of Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring: New insights

Pritam Gurung¹, Sambardhan Dabadi², Raju Raj Dhungel², Basant Pant¹

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Annapurna Neurological Institute and Allied Sciences, Maitighar, Kathmandu, Nepal

²Department of Biomedical engineering, Annapurna Neurological Institute and Allied Sciences, Maitighar, Kathmandu, Nepal

CORRESPONDENCE

Dr.Pritam Gurung
Department of Neurosurgery,
Annapurna Neurological Institute & Allied
Sciences, Maitighar, Kathmandu, Nepal
Email: preetamgurung@hotmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Submitted: 10 March, 2022

Accepted: 16 July, 2022

Published: 8 August, 2022

Source of support: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Copyright : ©The Author(S) 2022

This is an open access article under
the Creative Common Attribution
license CC-BY 4.0



ABSTRACT

Various intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM) helps in assessing the integrity of neural pathways during the surgical treatment. IONM is an assistive tool to preserve the function of brain, brainstem, cranial nerve, spinal cord and peripheral nerves and a great asset for neurosurgeons. Different application of IONM includes: MEP, SSEP, ABR, VEP, BCR and AMR. Intraoperative neuromonitoring has become an integral part of the neurosurgery and is being routinely used in various operative cases. This paper discusses the application and benefit of IONM, with its role in delivering a high quality intra operative care to detect and prevent neurological deficits.

Keywords: *Intraoperative; neurophysiological; monitoring.*

INTRODUCTION

It is very important to predict postoperative neurological functions during surgical manipulations. The intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM) only can evaluate neural pathway directly during general anesthesia.^{1,2} By utilizing the IONM, the surgeon can recognize risk of injury during the surgery. There are various methods of IONM such as MEP, SSEP, ABR, VEP, BCR, AMR etc.

IONM modalities

MEP: Motor evoked potentials

Intraoperative monitoring of MEPs has been reported to be useful for preventing postoperative motor dysfunction for aneurysmal surgery located in internal carotid artery (ICA) and middle cerebral artery (MCA) and resection of tumor near sensorimotor areas or corticospinal tract.³⁻⁶ MEP monitoring can detect a pyramidal tract insult as a decrease of the amplitude of the waveform elicited by electrical stimulation.

Evaluation neural pathway: corticospinal tract

Stimulation points: transcranial, transcortical, subcortical

Low cut filter: 2-50 Hz

High cut filter: 1-3 kHz

Recording points: spinal cord, extremity (compound muscle action potential)

Stimulation method: electrical high frequency train 5 (duration 0.2 mS, interval 500 Hz)

Anesthesia: Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) without muscle relaxant

Averaging: Not necessary

SEPs: Somatosensory Evoked Potentials

SEPs consist of a series of waves that reflect sequential activation of neural structures along the somatosensory pathways.⁷ The stimulation sites typically used for clinical diagnostic SEP studies are the median nerve at the wrist, the common peroneal nerve at the knee, and the posterior tibial nerve at the ankle. Somatosensory

evoked potentials (SSEPs) have been used as indicators of cerebral ischemia during CEA, although far less commonly than EEG. Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs) primarily assess the integrity of the dorsal (sensory) pathways of the spinal cord. SSEP monitoring provides real-time examination of spinal tracts at risk during surgical manipulation of the spinal cord such as spinal tumor, pedicle screw surgery.

Evaluation neural pathway: Sensory route (posterior column, medial lemniscus, thalamus, primary sensory cortex)

Stimulation points: median nerve in upper extremity, tibial nerve in the lower extremity

Bandpass filter: 1-20Hz 1.5KHz-3KHz

Recording points: The lower limb is recorded with Cp1, Cpz, Cp3 and reference Fz or A1,A2.

Upper limb is recorded with Cp3, Cp4 and Fz, A1, A2

Stimulation method: electrical less than 10 Hz

Anesthesia: No limitation

Averaging: 200 times

ABR: Auditory Brainstem Response

ABR is a test to measure the brain wave activity or to diagnose dysfunctions of the auditory pathways within the auditory nerve and brainstem that occurs in response to clicks or certain tones.⁸ This is useful in the surgery of CP angle tumor such as vestibular schwannoma, MVD for hemifacial spasm and trigeminal neuralgia.

Evaluation neural pathway: Hearing Function

Stimulation points: external auditory canal

Recording points: cochlear nerve, skull convexity (EEG)

Stimulation method: click sound stimulation, frequency less than 15 Hz

Anesthesia: No limitation

Averaging: 500 times

VEP: Visual Evoked Potential

In neurosurgical procedures that may cause visual impairment in the intraoperative period, the monitoring of flash VEP is clinically used to evaluate visual function.⁹ Surgeries that pose a risk of visual impairment in the intraoperative period include neurosurgical procedures, particularly tumorectomy at the optic chiasm of pituitary adenomas, craniopharyngiomas, tuberculum sellae meningiomas, and other tumors; the removal of brain tumors from the optic pathway and structures in its vicinity such as the optic nerve, optic radiation, and occipital lobe; and internal carotid artery aneurysm clipping, which poses a risk of impeding blood flow to the ophthalmic artery.⁹ Monitoring intraoperative flash visual evoked potentials (VEPs) assesses the functionality of the optic pathway from the retina to the visual cortical area, and allows visual impairment to be avoided or minimized.

radiation occipital lobe

Stimulation points: eyeball

Recording points: optic pathway, occipital (O1,O2, Oz)

Stimulation method: Flash light stimulation, duration: 20 to 40 mS, frequency 1 Hz

Anesthesia: TIVA

Averaging: 100 times

BCR: Bulbo-Cavernosus Reflex

BCR is a well-known somatic reflex that is useful for gaining information about the state of the sacral spinal cord segments.¹⁰ When present, it is indicative of intact spinal reflex arcs (S2–S4 spinal segments) with afferent and efferent nerves through the pudendal nerve. BCR is of the greatest utility for the monitoring of sacral function in untethering surgery.¹¹ It is performed by electrically stimulating pudendal afferent fibers at the clitoris or penis using surface electrode and recording triggered EMG in external anal sphincter using subdermal needle electrode.

Evaluation neural pathway: Sensory and motor sacral route and conus medullaris

Stimulation points: Penis or clitoris

Recording points: Anus EMG

Stimulation method: electrical high frequency train 5 (duration 0.2 mS, interval 250-500 Hz, 5 times)

Anesthesia: TIVA without muscle relaxant

Averaging: Not necessary

AMR: Abnormal muscle response

AMR, which is also called as lateral spread response, is used in the microvascular decompression (MVD) for hemifacial spasm.¹² Complete disappearance of AMR after MVD from root exit zone means postoperative disappearance of the hemifacial spasm. However, the persistence of the AMR does not mean incomplete MVD.

Evaluation neural pathway: Facial nerve and facial nucleus in hemifacial spasm

Stimulation points: facial nerve

Recording points: facial EMG

Stimulation method: electrical single stimulation

Anesthesia: No limitation

Averaging: Not necessary

CONCLUSION

The intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring is very important tool to reduce neurological morbidity associated with surgeries.

REFERENCES

1. MacDonald DB, Skinner S, Shils J, Yingling C. Intraoperative motor evoked potential monitoring - A position

statement by the American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring. Clin Neurophysiol. 2013. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2013.07.025.

2. Deletis V, Sala F. The role of intraoperative neurophysiology in the protection or documentation of surgically induced injury to the spinal cord. In: Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. ; 2001. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb03620.x.
3. Szelényi A, Langer D, Kothbauer K, De Camargo AB, Flamm ES, Deletis V. Monitoring of muscle motor evoked potentials during cerebral aneurysm surgery: Intraoperative changes and postoperative outcome. J Neurosurg. 2006. doi:10.3171/jns.2006.105.5.675.
4. Gurung P, Motoyama Y, Takatani T, et al. Transient Augmentation of Intraoperative Motor Evoked Potentials During Middle Cerebral Artery Aneurysm Surgery. World Neurosurg. 2019. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2019.06.004.
5. Suzuki K, Kodama N, Sasaki T, et al. Intraoperative monitoring of blood flow insufficiency in the anterior choroidal artery during aneurysm surgery. J Neurosurg. 2003. doi:10.3171/jns.2003.98.3.0507.
6. Neuloh G, Pechstein U, Schramm J. Motor tract monitoring during insular glioma surgery. J Neurosurg. 2007. doi:10.3171/jns.2007.106.4.582.
7. Yamada T, Yeh M, Kimura J. Fundamental principles of somatosensory evoked potentials. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2004. doi:10.1016/S1047-9651(03)00100-1.
8. Bush ML, Jones RO, Shinn JB. Auditory brainstem response threshold differences in patients with vestibular schwannoma: A new diagnostic index. Ear, Nose Throat J. 2008. doi:10.1177/014556130808700811.
9. Hayashi H, Kawaguchi M. Intraoperative monitoring of flash visual evoked potential under general anesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2017. doi:10.4097/kjae.2017.70.2.127.
10. Kothbauer KF, Novak K. Intraoperative monitoring for tethered cord surgery: an update. Neurosurg Focus. 2004. doi:10.3171/foc.2004.16.2.1.
11. Shinjo T, Hayashi H, Takatani T, Boku E, Nakase H, Kawaguchi M. Intraoperative feasibility of bulbocavernosus reflex monitoring during untethering surgery in infants and children. J Clin Monit Comput. 2019. doi:10.1007/s10877-018-0127-2.
12. Fukuda M, Oishi M, Takao T, Hiraishi T, Sato Y, Fujii Y. Monitoring of abnormal muscle response and facial motor evoked potential during microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm. Surg Neurol Int. 2012. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.102328.